Introduction

The goal of the course is to provide PhD students with an understanding of the main perils that researchers can face during their careers. Specifically, the course will support students in developing a critical mind necessary to spot issues affecting reproducibility and research integrity in the context of (preclinical and fundamental) research with both humans and animals.

The course will tackle three main areas of the contemporary debate on research excellence: research ethics, scientific integrity, and reproducibility. One of the aims of the instructors is to show how these topics are tightly interlinked. Another objective of this course is to provide students with practical tools and strategies to prevent experimental design mistakes, improve their scientific rigor, and conduct reproducible research.

The course will be taught using contrasting case studies: each core topic of the course will be presented through two case studies that reveal different facets of the same topic. Students will be asked to study the case studies, and prepare summaries highlighting the major ethical issues identified that will be presented and discussed in class.

Learning outcomes

At the end of the course students should be able to:

A. Recognize design flaws of scientific studies that might lead to irreproducible research
B. Refer to and integrate experimental designs that will lead to valid research results
C. Provide necessary elements in their scientific reporting that will allow their research to be reproducible and replicable.
D. Use commonly accepted Open Research repositories and software.

Course structure
This course is a studio/seminar. The course is organized in a reversed classroom format: each week students will have to watch a few videos detailing the theoretical content. Class time will be devoted to discussing theory, answering students’ questions, practical activities, etc. The last class of the course is a workshop: students will be invited to bring their own experimental designs and discuss how their experiments could be improved by including and considering reproducibility and ethical elements in their setups.

Students will be asked to review readings before each class and present a critique of the articles in class. The students will have to demonstrate knowledge of topics, and design and research methodologies presented during the course.

Grading of the knowledge acquisition of the course will be based on two elements:
1. The project presented during the workshop;
2. A final written exam consisting of open-ended questions.

COVID-19: Due to the coronavirus situation, this year the course will be taught in modality hi-flex: students will be able to choose between attending in person, attending remotely via Zoom, and following the course asynchronously. The theoretical content comes via short video recordings. Class time will be devoted to presentations, Q&A, exercises, and group activities. Classes can be attended physically or via Zoom. Because of the health evolution related to COVID-19, the study plans may be adapted during the semester.

Resources
All the content is available on the web site of the class (Moodle) and on the Ubicast channel of the course (rec.unil.ch).

The class will loosely follow the following books:

During the course, we will use different software for training and animate the classwork. Students will need to bring their laptop to class.
Pre-requisites

- Students need to have basic knowledge of Statistics (cf. Bachelor course "Statistics").
- We will try to adapt the technical prerequisite of the course to the audience. We will measure fluency with the following languages at signup: R, SPSS, coding/programming.

Maximum and minimum attendance

The course will be given with a minimum attendance of 6 students. Given the design of the course and the close-level coaching of the pedagogical activities, we have set a maximum attendance of 20 students.

Interested students will be required to sign up for the course using this form. Confirmation of official enrollment in the course will be sent to the students 2 weeks before the first class.

Evaluation

First attempt
Exam: Written 1h00 hours
Documentation: Not allowed
Calculator: Not allowed

Evaluation:
The evaluation has two elements:
- Assessment of the workshop individual project (20%)
- Written exam: open-ended questions (80%)

Active participation in classroom/Zoom activities will entitle the student to a 0.5 point bonus on the final grade. Active participation means making concrete contributions to classroom work (e.g., present result of group activity, provide detailed answers to a question).

Specific regulations:
- A minimum grade of 3.0 in the written exam is required for other activities to count towards the final grade. If the grade achieved in the written exam is less than this, other elements (i.e., active participation bonus, or workshop individual project) will not be part of final grade consideration.
- The written exam is a "closed book" examination. Only dictionaries are allowed.

Retake
Students will be given the option to go for an oral exam instead of a written exam. If one of the students in the retake session prefers the written exam, then all students in the retake session will have to take the written exam, like for the first exam session.

Exam: Oral 0h20 minutes exam plus 0h20 minutes preparation
Evaluation:
- The grade of the oral examination will replace the grade obtained during the final written exam. The other gradings (workshop individual project, active participation bonus) will remain unchanged and are part of the computing for the final grade.

Detailed schedule
Classes are held in the room indicated in the schedule spreadsheet from 2pm to 5pm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 [3h] | **Scientific Integrity**  
  - What is scientific integrity?  
  - Why do we do what we do? a personal take on scientific integrity  
  - Why does scientific integrity get degraded?  
  **Training activity**  
  - case studies: students will be challenged with scenarios that touch upon choices considered daily routine in a laboratory setting  
  **Reading material**  
  - Nature Journals’ competing interests declaration: [https://www.nature.com/nature/editorial-policies/competing-interests](https://www.nature.com/nature/editorial-policies/competing-interests)  
| 2 [3h] | **Reproducibility and Replicability**  
  - What is reproducible research?  
  - What are the main causes of irreproducible research? Biases (e.g., selection, confounding, confirmation, anchoring, social desirability). Low statistical power. P-hacking. Herding effect, Academic ‘abandonware’.  
  - Endogeneity  
  - HARKing  
  **Training activity** |
- Case studies: several readings will be proposed and reviewed in class. The goal will be to identify reproducibility flaws.

**Reading material**


### 3 [3h]

**Strategies to Design Reproducible Research**

- Detection of reporting inconsistencies and errors
- Experimental design (e.g., triangulation, blinding; systematic random sampling; inclusion of controls; pseudo-random number generator)
- Bayesian statistics
- Power analysis (inc. simulations)
- P-curves
- Exploratory vs confirmatory hypothesis testing
- Methods of quality control for reliability and reproducibility.
- Registered reports and study preregistration
- Restructuring incentives

**Training activity**

- Power analyses: several experimental designs will be provided to the class. Students will be asked to compute a power analysis and identify the number of study participants required or verify whether the collected data had enough statistical power to detect effects.

**Reading material**


## How to Tell the Truth with Numbers
- Reporting guidelines
- Statistical analysis plans
- **JASP**: open-source statistical software
- Computational notebooks in R (guest speaker)
- Avoiding statistical pitfalls
- Practise on simulations

### Training activity
- Computational notebooks: students will be asked to prepare a computational notebook to share the statistical analysis of their study with reviewers or with the readers. We will discuss style and conventions to produce these artifacts.

### Reading material

## Open Research
- Data acquisition and ownership
- Why are data not shared?
- Manage and share your research
- Study protocols, questionnaire definitions, consent forms
- Registered reports and study preregistration
- Open datasets, anonymization, and licensing
- Threats of Open Data (e.g., training-set leakage)
- Examples and discussion

**Training activities**
- Anonymise example dataset: a demo of a software for anonymising a dataset will be provided.
- Create repository with OSF: students will be asked to create a test repository with OSF. In addition we will look for published projects (e.g. github/OSF) as an example of how this could help students with their own projects.

**Reading material**
- Preregistration: First analysis of 'pre-registered' studies shows sharp rise in null findings. doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-07118-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6 [3h]</th>
<th><strong>Human Participation in Research</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compliance and ethics terms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interpersonal responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vulnerable populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conflict of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Examples and discussion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Training activity**
- Prepare informed consent: students will be asked to prepare an informed consent for a study with particular focus on compliance with the ethics terms of UNIL. In addition students will be asked to detail their data management plan.

**Reading material**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7 [3h]</th>
<th><strong>Animal Participation in Research</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3Rs (Replacement, Reduction and Refinement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The EQIPD quality system for preclinical data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental Design Assistant (EDA, NC3Rs UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Studying cognition in animals: pitfalls &amp; opportunities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Training activity**
- Interactive exercise: develop a therapy (from bench to bedside) for
### Alzheimer's disease

#### Reading material

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8 [3h]</th>
<th><strong>Workshop</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students will present research data (either their own data, experimental design, or peer-reviewed articles) using the point of view of a 'reproducibility scientist'. The goal is to probe the student's ability to apply the concepts and tools acquired during the course. This will be an open-discussion session where student-to-student discussions are encouraged) using the point of view of a 'reproducibility scientist'.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course title objective</td>
<td>Objective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Develop a critical point of view on day-to-day behavior in scientific practice</td>
<td>A.1 - introduction and definition of scientific integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.2 - causes and consequences of lack of integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.3 - introduction and definitions of reproducibility and replicability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A.4 - causes and consequences of lack of reproducibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Refer to and integrate experimental designs that will lead to reproducible research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Provide necessary elements in their scientific reporting that will allow their research to be reproducible and replicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D. Use commonly accepted Open Research repositories and software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. Understand how best practices in research and animal welfare together need to reproducible data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Apply learned concepts to own research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>